

UNITED STATE PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

JD

			("ll
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
4 -			

09/203,965

12/02/98

LEE

G

DAVOX-159XX

LM02/1003

BOURQUE AND ASSOCIATES 835 HANOVER STREET SUITE 303 MANCHESTER NH 03104 DEANE JR. W

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2742 2

DATE MAILED:

10/03/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/203,965

Applicant(s)

Lee

Examiner

Bill Deane

Group Art Unit 2742



This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay#935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 2, 1998</u>	
in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay#355 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire	☐ This action is FINAL .	
longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.138(a). Disposition of Claim Claim(s) 1-19	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quay\(\theta\) 635 C.D. 11;	matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 453 O.G. 213.
Solution Solution	longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respon application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of the second s	d within the period for response will cause the
Of the above, claim(s)	·	
Claim(s)	X Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>	is/are pending in the applicat
Claim(s) 1-19	Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
Claims	☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claims	Claim(s) 1-19	is/are rejected.
Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement. Application Papers		
Application Papers \[\times \text{ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.} \] \[\times The drawing(s) filed on		
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)2 Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 	See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	I to by the Examiner. is approveddisapproved. 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). Fority documents have been ational Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES	 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s) ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 	

Application/Control Number: 09/203965

Art Unit: 2742

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the AIN aspect of the invention must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 4, 6 12 and 14 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,278,898 (Cambray et al.).

With respect to claims 8 and 15, Cambray et al teach a hold queue prioritizing system, comprising; an automatic telephone system (Col. 1, lines 43 - 44), a call receiver/director (12), a customer database (Col. 2, line 57), a means for obtaining identifying information (note call ID and indicia of Fig 1), at least one hold queue (18) connected to call receiver/director (12) (see Fig. 1), a plurality of call agent terminals (16) coupled to the automated phone system (Fig. 1) and a hold queue prioritizer/call retriever (26). With respect to the display in claims 8 and 16, such is inherent. With respect to the means for manually directing a call to an available agent in claims 8 and 16, note Col. 4, lines 30 - 34.

Art Unit: 2742

With respect to claims 9 and 18, note raw customer information retrieved (note Col. 3, lines 8 - 11).

With respect to claim 10, note call priority score (Claim 2)

With respect to claims 11 and 19, note absolute priority (FIFO, Col. 2, lines 11 - 16).

With respect to claim 12, note Col. 2, lines 5 - 8 and Col. 5, lines 15 - 31.

With respect to claim 14, note Col. 2, line 60.

With respect to claim 17, note Col. 2, line 65 - Col. 3, line 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cambray et al in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,692,033 (Farris).

Cambray et al teach the claimed device as discussed supra except for the AIN aspects of the invention. However, Farris discloses a queuing system in an AIN environment. Since Cambray et al teach a means for call ID (Fig.), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such an AIN environment as taught by Farris in the device of Cambray et al as such would only entail the substitution of one well known call identifier for another.

Art Unit: 2742

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
- U.S. Patent No. 6,088,444 (Walker et al.) note value-based queuing and use of IVRU;
- U.S. Patent No. 5,946,388 (Walker et al.) note priority queuing and Fig. 1;
- U.S. Patent No. 5,754,639 (Flockhart et al.) note Figs. 1-3;
- U.S. Patent No. 5,164,981 (Mitchell et al.) note Abstract
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bill Deane whose telephone number is (703) 306-5838. In addition, facsimile transmissions should be directed to Bill Deane at facsimile number (703) 308-6306.

wm.

September 29, 2000

KRISTA ZELE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 2700